21st International Research/Expert Conference
"Trends in the Development of Machinery and Associated Technology"
TMT 2018, Karlovy Vary, Czech Republic, 18th – 22nd September, 2018

EFFECT OF SOLAR ENERGY IN REDUCING CARBON FOOTPRINT

Ismet Faruk Yaka
Nigde Omer Halisdemir University, Faculty of Engineering, Department of Mechanical Engineering Nigde, Turkey

Abdulkadir Kocer
Akdeniz University, Vocational School of Technical Sciences, Antalya, Turkey

Afsin Gungor
Mehmet Akif Ersoy University, Bucak Technology Faculty, Bucak Burdur, Turkey

ABSTRACT
Carbon footprint is a term used to express the lasting effect of human consumption activities on nature. A carbon footprint is often defined as CO_2 or equivalent greenhouse gas emitted as a result of an activity or process associated with a product, service or region. The carbon footprint account is one of the most interesting researches in recent years. In this study, the importance of reducing the carbon footprint is investigated depending on the tilt angle of solar energy in Turkey.
Keywords: Solar energy, Tilt angle, Carbon footprint.

1. INTRODUCTION
The studies on the new and renewable energy sources have gained speed and are encouraged due to the fact that energy resources used today run out rapidly and cause environmental pollution [1]. Tiris et al. [2] calculated the correlations of the monthly average daily global, diffuse and beam radiations with hours of bright sunshine in Gebze, Turkey. Bakirci [3] developed the correlations for estimation of daily global solar radiation with hours of bright sunshine in Turkey. Zuhairy and Sayigh [4] carried out simulation and modeling of solar radiation in Saudi Arabia. Ulgen and Hepbasli [5] investigated the diffuse fraction of daily and monthly global radiation for Izmir, Turkey. A simple mathematical procedure for the estimation of the optimal tilt angle of a collector is presented based on the monthly horizontal radiation [6]. Gunerhan and Hepbasli [7] calculated the optimum tilt angles by searching for the values for which the total radiation on the collector surface is at a maximum for a particular day or a specific period. Mehleri et al. [8] carried out a study on the determination of the optimum tilt angle and orientation for solar photovoltaic arrays in order to maximize the incident of solar irradiance exposed on the array, for a specific period of time. Moghadam et al. [9] performed optimization of solar flat collector inclination. Monthly, seasonal, semi-annual and annual optimum tilt angles were determined. Ghosh et al. [10] determined the seasonal optimum tilt angles, solar radiations on variously oriented, single and double axis tracking surfaces at Dhaka. Three mathematical models for the point source with parameters optimized for a variety of climatic conditions were employed to determine hourly and seasonal optimum tilt angles.
Maatallah et al. [11] presented an overview on research works on solar radiation basics and photovoltaic generation. The effects of azimuth and tilt angles on the output power of a photovoltaic module were investigated. Kaldellis and Zafirakis [12] carried out an experimental study in the area of Athens in order to evaluate the performance of different PV panel tilt angles during the summer period. The angle of 15^o ($\pm 2.5^o$) was designated as optimum for almost the entire summer period. Benghanem [13] performed a study on the optimum slope and orientation of a surface receiving a maximum solar radiation. The annual optimum tilt angle was found to be approximately equal to the

latitude of the location. Siraki and Pillay [14] proposed a simple method on a modified sky model to calculate the optimum angle of installation for urban applications. It was expressed that the results demonstrated the dependency of the optimum angle of installation on the latitude, weather conditions and surroundings. Lave and Kleissl [15] calculated the optimum tilt and azimuth angles of solar panels for a grid of 0.1^o by 0.1^o National Solar Radiation Database cells covering the continental United States. The yearly global irradiation incident on a panel at this optimum orientation was compared to the solar radiation received by a flat horizontal panel and a 2-axis tracking panel. Lubitz [16] investigated the effect of manual tilt adjustments on incident irradiance on fixed and tracking solar panels. The optimum tilt angle for an azimuth tracking panel was found to be on average 19^o closer to the vertical than the optimum tilt angle for a fixed, south-facing panel at the same site.

Human consumption activities constitute a permanent impact on the environment. Carbon footprint, this is a way of expressing the magnitude of the effect. Different definitions of the concept of the carbon footprint we look at the literature were conducted. Carbon footprint has the total amount of human activity resulting directly or indirectly accumulated carbon dioxide emissions generated during the life cycle of a product [17]. Make up the largest component of the ecological footprint according to another definition "carbon footprint" of greenhouse gases that cause global warming in the amount of biologically productive area required to absorb the emissions of carbon dioxide gas is located [18]. We need to perform in order to meet our impact on the environment that creates all kinds of activities and the share of consumption in the global warming "carbon footprint" is called [19].

Considering the amount of lead in only the greenhouse gas carbon dioxide in the carbon footprint definition, it is experiencing difficulties in providing sufficient information in the biological capacity necessary for measuring other greenhouse gases. That is why the calculations contained in some other greenhouse gases converted into carbon dioxide equivalence studies are reviewed [17, 20]. In this study, the importance of reducing the carbon footprint is investigated depending on the tilt angle of solar energy in Turkey.

2. THEORETICAL ANALYSIS

The monthly average values of solar radiation incident on surfaces of various orientations are required for solar energy applications. The monthly averages of the daily solar radiation incident upon a horizontal surface are available for many locations. However, radiation data on tilted surfaces are generally not available. A simple method to estimate the average daily radiation for each calendar month on surfaces facing directly towards the equator has been developed by Liu and Jordan [21].

The earth's axis is tilted approximately 23.45° with respect to the earth's orbit around the sun. As the earth moves around the sun, the axis is fixed if viewed from space. The declination of the sun is the angle between a plane perpendicular to a line between the earth and the sun and the earth's axis. An approximate formula for the declination of the sun is given as follows [22],

$$\delta = 23.45 \sin\left[(284+n)\frac{360}{365}\right] \quad (1)$$

where n is the number of the day of year starting from the first of January (n=1 on January 1st and n=365 on December 31st , February 29th is ignored).

Sunrise and sunset occur when the sun is at the horizon and hence the cosine of the zenith angle is zero. Setting the cosine of the zenith angle to zero in the relation, we get the following equation,

$$\omega = \cos^{-1}(-\tan\phi \tan\delta) \quad (2)$$

The monthly average daily radiation on a horizontal surface (H), the fraction of the mean daily extraterrestrial radiation (H0), the monthly average daily diffuse radiation (HD),

$$H_0 = \frac{24}{\pi} G_{sc}(1+0.033\cos(\frac{360n}{365})(\cos\phi\cos\delta\sin\omega + \frac{\pi\omega}{180}\sin\phi\sin\delta) \quad (3)$$

where G_{sc} is the solar constant (1367 W/m^2), is the latitude of the Antalya.

Solar radiation incident outside the earth's atmosphere is called extraterrestrial radiation. On average the extraterrestrial irradiance is 1367 W/m^2. The monthly average daily solar radiation on tilted surface (HT), may be expressed as follow (Liu and Jordan, 1960) [22],

$$H_T = (H - H_D)R_b + \frac{H_D}{2}(1+\cos\beta) + \frac{H\rho}{2}(1-\cos\beta) \quad (4)$$

where ρ is ground reflectance (≈0.2).

3. METHODOLOGY

The equations which calculate total solar radiation falling on tilted surface for optimum tilt angle the monthly and the annually are solved with a computer code which is written in Visual Studio 2012 and should be modular to allow users to update component modules easily as new findings become available. The calculations begin with measured hourly global and diffuse radiation received on a horizontal surface. These quantities are then transposed onto an inclined plane by a mathematical procedure. The optimum tilt angle was computed by searching for the values for which the total radiation on the collector surface is a maximum for a particular day or a specific period. In this regard, the calculations were made for a south facing solar collector for 365 days. The tilt angle is changed from 0° to 90°. The solar reflectivity (ρ) was assumed to be 0.2.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this study, determination of the optimal tilt angle for the Western Mediterranean regions, and assessment of the carbon footprint were examined. For 3 different provinces, monthly, seasonal, semi-annually and annually changes of tilt angles and generated solar radiation values are calculated. If you look at the variation of the monthly tilt angle and the amount of solar energy obtained: the tilt angle varies between 1-66 degrees and the obtained solar radiation varies between 503-721 MJ.

When Table 1 is examined in detail: The lowest tilt angle value for Antalya is in June and July, and at the same time the highest solar radiation is this time interval in values. This situation is the same for the other two provinces. This situation is the same in the Isparta and Burdur but it has more solar radiation than the Antalya.

Table 1. Solar radiation and tilt angle for monthly

Months	Antalya		Burdur		Isparta	
	Radiation	**Tilt Angle**	**Radiation**	**Tilt Angle**	**Radiation**	**Tilt Angle**
January	529,11	63	540,15	64	540,24	64
February	503,55	54	515,16	55	515,36	55
March	589,77	39	604,33	40	604,66	40
April	614,37	21	630,32	22	630,76	22
May	687,87	5	706,64	5	707,21	6
June	694,99	1	714,93	1	715,59	1
July	701,05	1	720,52	1	721,12	1
August	647,92	15	665,1	15	665,58	15
September	577,99	33	592,52	33	592,87	33
October	562,17	50	575,49	50	575,75	50
November	516,12	61	527,22	62	527,34	62
December	517,75	65	528,1	66	528,15	66
Year	**6662,16**	**33**	**6827,48**	**34**	**6831,27**	**34**

The highest solar radiation production is also in the summer and spring + summer. In terms of solar radiation and tilt angle, all three provinces have very close values. The reason for this is the high solar radiation values in summer and the western Mediterranean region is closer to the equator. Solar panels can be kept constant in summer, but there is a high change in tilt angle in winter and autumn compared to summer months. Therefore, in order to reduce carbon footprint by keeping energy production at maximum level, solar panels should be changed according to tilt angle.

5. CONLUSION

Energy needs are increasing and renewable energy sources are being used to reduce this need without harming the environment. From this point, in this study, the optimum tilt angle was calculated for three provinces in the western Mediterranean region. The maximum amount of energy that can be produced depends on the variation of tilt angles. The average tilt angle in all three provinces ranges from 33 to 34 degrees. The solar radiation of Isparta and Burdur is very close to each other, whereas Antalya is slightly less than other ones. The production of solar energy is very important in terms of reducing carbon footprint. The solar radiation values in the western Mediterranean region are very close to each other. the use of solar energy in this area will provide new and clean energy by reducing the amount of energy obtained from fossil fuels. To leave a clean and sustainable world for future generations, we must focus on renewable energy sources by reducing our carbon footprint.

6. REFERENCES

[1] Bakirci K., (2009). "A simple calculation method for estimation of instantaneous global solar radiation on horizontal surface". Journal of Thermal Science and Technology, vol. 29(2), pp.53–8.

[2] Tiris M., et. al., (1996). "Correlations of monthly-average daily global, diffuse and beam radiations with hours of bright sunshine in Gebze, Turkey". Energy Conversion and Management, vol. 37(9), pp.1417–21.

[3] Bakirci K., (2009). "Correlations for estimation of daily global solar radiation with hours of bright sunshine in Turkey". Energy, vol. 34(4), pp.485–501.

[4] Zuhairy A.A., Sayigh A.A.M., (1995). "Simulation and modeling of solar radiation in Saudi Arabia". Renewable Energy, vol. 6(2), pp.107–18.

[5] Ulgen K, Hepbasli A., (2003). "Comparison of the diffuse fraction of daily and monthly global radiation for Izmir, Turkey". Energy Sources, vol. 25, pp.637–49.

[6] Tang R., Wu T., (2004). "Optimal tilt-angles for solar collectors used in China". Appl Energy, vol. 79, pp. 239–48.

[7] Gunerhan H., Hepbasli A., (2007). "Determination of the optimum tilt angle of solar collectors for building applications". Building and Environment, vol. 42, pp.779–83.

[8] Mehleri E.D., Zervas P.L., Sarimveis H., Palyvos J.A., Markatos N.C., (2010). "Determination of the optimal tilt angle and orientation for solar photovoltaic arrays". Renewable Energy, vol.35(11), pp.2468–75.

[9] Moghadam H., Tabrizi F.F., Sharak A.Z., (2011). "Optimization of solar flat collector inclination". Desalination, vol. 265(1–3), pp.107–11.

[10] Ghosh H.R., et. al., (2010). "Determining seasonal optimum tilt angles, solar radiations on variously oriented, single and double axis tracking surfaces at Dhaka". Renewable Energy, vol. 35(6), pp. 1292–7.

[11] Maatallah T., El Alimi S., Nassrallah S.B., (2011). "Performance modeling and investigation of fixed, single and dual-axis tracking photovoltaic panel in Monastir city, Tunisia". Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, vol. 15(8), pp. 4053–66.

[12] Kaldellis J., Zafirakis D., (2012). "Experimental investigation of the optimum photovoltaic panels tilt angle during the summer period". Energy, vol. 38(1), pp. 305–14.

[13] Benghanem M., (2011). "Optimization of tilt angle for solar panel: case study for Madinah, Saudi Arabia". Applied Energy, vol. 88(4), pp.1427–33.

[14] Siraki A.G., Pillay P., (2012). "Study of optimum tilt angles for solar panels in different latitudes for urban applications. Solar Energy, vol. 86(6), pp.1920–8.

[15] Lave M., Kleissl J., (2011). "Optimum fixed orientations and benefits of tracking for capturing solar radiation in the continental United States". Renewable Energy, vol. 36(3), pp.1145–52.

[16] Lubitz W.D., (2011). "Effect of manual tilt adjustments on incident irradiance on fixed and tracking solar panels". Applied Energy, vol. 88(5), pp.1710–9.

[17] Wiedmann T., Minx J., (2008). "A definition of carbon footprint". Hauppauge NY: Nova Science Publishers.

[18] http://www.wwf.org.tr/

[19] Lynas M., (2009). "Karbon ayak iziniz", Neşet Kutluğ (Çev.). Açık Radyo Kitapları. İstanbul, (in Turkish)

[20] Kitzes J., et. al., (2007) "Current methods for calculating national ecological footprints accounts". Science for Environment and Sustainable Society, vol.4(1), pp. 1-9.

[21] Beckman W., Duffie J., (1980). "Solar engineering of thermal processes". 3rd ed. New York: Wiley and Sons

[22] Liu B., Jordan R., (1962). "Daily insolation on surfaces tilted towards the equator". Trans ASHRAE, 67.